	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/067,995	FISCHETTI ET AL.		
harroory housen	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Francisco C Prats	1651		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) _ they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.	
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>8.10-24 and 28</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 10. Other:	•	Ancisto C Prats	Ī	
_		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: although applicant states that the C1 bacteriophage enzyme cannot lyse S. pneumoniae recited in claims of applicant's previous patents and copending applications, applicant does not point to the specific evidentiary support for that assertion. Also, with respect to the terminal disclaimer filed 7/13/04, the terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because:

It was not signed by all owners and, therefore, supplemental terminal disclaimers are required from the remaining owners.



Creation date: 07-30-2004

Indexing Officer: SUK - SOPHY UK

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 10192807

Legal Date: 08-02-2004

Total number of pages: 6

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	NOA	3
2	NOA	1
3	IIFW	1
4	SRFW	1

Remarks:	,
Order of re-scan issued on .	·